

**United States Court of Appeals**  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

**No. 05-5261****September Term, 2005****05cv01019**

Princeton Hummingway,  
Appellant

v.

United States of America and Department of Justice,  
Appellees

Filed On:

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

FILED

JAN 11 2006

CLERK

**APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

**BEFORE:** Ginsburg, Chief Judge, and Randolph and Brown, Circuit Judges

**JUDGMENT**

This appeal was considered on the record from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia and on the brief and appendix filed by appellant. It is

**ORDERED AND ADJUDGED** that the district court's order filed May 19, 2005 be affirmed. The district court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing the complaint without prejudice on the ground that it did not comply with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a). See Ciralsky v. CIA, 355 F.3d 661, 668-69 (D.C. Cir. 2004).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

A True copy:

United States Court of Appeals  
for the District of Columbia Circuit

By:   
Deputy Clerk

<b>MANDATE</b>	
Pursuant to the provisions of Fed. R. App. Pro. 41(a)	
ISSUED:	6/23/06
BY:	
Deputy Clerk	
ATTACHED:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Amending Order <input type="checkbox"/> Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Order on Costs	